


[Ebook free] A Conceptual Approach To California Summary Judgment

A Conceptual Approach To California Summary Judgment

Thomas Kallay

**Download PDF / ePub / DOC / audiobook / ebooks*



 Download

 Read Online

#1901600 in Books iUniverse 2012-06-26 Original language: English PDF # 1 9.00 x .19 x 6.00l, .27 #File Name: 146207334484 pages | File size: 15.Mb

Thomas Kallay : A Conceptual Approach To California Summary Judgment before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised A Conceptual Approach To California Summary Judgment:

Summary judgment is a sophisticated and important procedural device; in A Conceptual Approach to California

Summary Judgment, author Thomas Kallay provides a detailed analysis and explanation of how this procedure is used in California. Kallay identifies its fundamental components and concepts and shows how these interact with each other. This study also explores the relationship of California summary judgment to other procedural devices. It discusses: direct, circumstantial, and admissible evidence; the presentation of evidence; material and ultimate facts; the burden of the moving party and opposing party; evaluation of opponent's evidence; evenly balanced influences; summary adjudication; summary judgment, directed verdict, and the constitution; the burdens of production and persuasion; the appeal. Geared toward law students and lawyers, *A Conceptual Approach to California Summary Judgment* provides a comprehensive overview of this significant component of California law. The cornerstone of summary judgment is evidence. First, both the party moving for summary judgment and the opponent of the motion must rely on evidence. Second, the court must determine the motion based on the evidence presented. The courts have held from time to time that the parties to a motion for summary judgment must rely on "evidentiary facts." This is another way of saying that the parties and the court must rely on admissible evidence. . . . With one exception, evidence for the purposes of summary judgment is no different from evidence presented at trial.

About the Author Thomas Kallay has been a member of the California Bar since 1963. He has practiced law as a civil litigator and has worked for the California Court of Appeal in Los Angeles as a judicial attorney. Kallay has published several articles dealing with California civil procedure.